National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXVIII. NO. 48. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, APRIL 4, 1868. WHOLE NO. 1,452.

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At its Office, No. 30 Nassau Street, New York

THREE DOLLARS PER ANNUM.

AARON M. POWELL, *Editor.*

WENDELL PHILLIPS, *Special Editorial Contributor.*

For rates of Advertising and Club terms see Fourth page.

THE TRUTH OF HISTORY.

LETTER FROM COL. R. J. HINTON.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 25th, 1868.

To the Editor of the Standard:

WILL THE STANDARD allow me sufficient space to correct a slight error into which that usually careful writer, A. D. Richardson, has fallen? I find, in the second part of his *Atlantic* article on "Free Missouri," this paragraph. Speaking of the effect of the troubles in Kansas on Missouri, Mr. Richardson says of the Kansas men:

"Some paid off old scores by aiding negroes to escape to Iowa. Dr. John Doy was escorting thirteen of these fugitives, when a Missouri band, without any legal process, captured him in Kansas, fifty miles from the line. He was hurried to St. Joseph, and tried for enticing away slaves—a felony whose extreme penalty was death. The indictment charged that the offence was committed in Missouri. The prosecution failed to prove that he had ever been within thirty miles of that State, yet the jury found him guilty. But one dark night, before he could be taken to the penitentiary, John Brown, with a few trusted comrades, crossed the river in a skiff, broke open the jail, rekidnapped Doy from his kidnappers, and bore him home in triumph."

There are two or three trifling mistakes of fact in the foregoing, but the correction I desired to make was in regard to John Brown's participation in the affair. Doy was kidnapped on the 25th of January, 1859. On the same day John Brown was in the neighborhood of Holton, a town in the north of Kansas, to which Doy was moving his company of fugitives. Captain Brown was escorting the eleven negroes whom, on the preceding Christmas day, he had rescued from slavery in Missouri. Capt. Brown went north with his prize; Dr. Doy was captured and taken to Weston, Mo., and from thence to Platte City, where he and his son lay in jail until the 24th of March. He was then taken to St. Joseph and placed on trial there. He was rescued on the night of the 23d of July, 1859, by a party of fifteen men, all of them citizens of Lawrence, Kansas, the home of Dr. Doy. He was to have been removed next day to the Missouri penitentiary, at Jefferson City, to five years confinement in which he had been sentenced. The leader of the party was Maj. Abbott, a well-known free State man, who still resides in Douglas county. I cannot find the record I keep of their names, but know that among them was Mr. Stewart, known in Kansas as the "Fighting Preacher." He died in the army during the war. Joseph Gardner, also known as the "Fighting Quaker," was another. He was afterwards a lieutenant in the colored regiment of which I was adjutant, and was severely wounded in the first engagement fought during the rebellion by colored troops, in Butler county, Mo., October 20th, 1862. He died during the war at Fort Gibson, Indian Territory. Another member of the party was Mr. Wilks, who still resides near Lawrence. Capt. Silas Soule, of the 1st colored volunteers, killed by a deserter in 1864, was another one of the party. I do not remember the other names. There was a photograph of the group taken at Lawrence on the arrival of the rescue party.

At the time this occurred (July 23d, 1859), John Brown was living on the Kennedy Farm, near Hagerstown, Maryland, which place he hired on or about the 30th of June, and from which his great raid on slavery was begun. I am able to fix this accurately from data and letters in my possession. Believing that everything in relation to the Hero of Harper's Ferry is of value, I have written to correct a little error, and give credit for a gallant deed to those to whom it is due.

R. J. HINTON.

MISS MYRTILLA MINOR.

To the Editor of the Standard:

THE following sketch of a remarkable woman is from the pen of MISS EMILY HOWLAND, who began her own generous, devoted work in behalf of the colored people, in MISS MINOR'S school, in Washington, ten years ago.

It was solicited for a *Report on the Schools of Washington*, soon to be presented to *Congress*.

Many readers of THE STANDARD, who may have visited this school at the Capital, or aided it, will welcome this little memorial of one whose zeal of spirit was a consuming fire to her frail body, whose enthusiasm pioneered, in the shadow of a negro-hating government, the great movement, since accepted as the chief educational work of the nation.

SALLIE HOLLEY.

MISS MINER'S father was a small farmer in Madison County, N. Y., and she, with many brothers and sisters, twelve in all, I believe, was reared in industry and frugality. Hop-growing was one of the pursuits of the country, and the season for picking them was an opportunity for the young men and maidens of that region to earn a little cash, of which she was not slow to avail herself. She once earned seventeen dollars in this way. Her educational advantages were limited to the district school. Her thirst for knowledge soon exceeded such limits, and how to get an education became the thought of her life. In her desperation she wrote to Gov. Seward, then Governor of the State, asking him if he could show her how it was possible for a woman, in her circumstances, to get a chance to become a scholar. He replied that he could not, but hoped a better day was coming, wherein woman might have a chance to be and to do to the extent of her abilities. She would not give up, but hearing of a school for girls on the manual labor plan, just opened, she applied for admission. Health having failed, she was accepted with a promise to pay, after she should leave the school and earn enough, as teacher, to liquidate the debt.

She was taken thither on a bed, having a diseased spine, and a part of the time kept up with her classes without being able to leave her room.

A proposal to teach, in Mississippi, was accepted by her. Without means to replenish her wardrobe, she got credit and made purchases to meet her wants, when, on the eve of leaving home, she received word that the school would not open. This was a stunning blow. In debt, she knew not what to do, but decided to ask the merchants to take back all articles she *could* restore, and then call came from the South, which did not prove a deception. Now began the career which caused a sequel not intended by the principal of this Institution for the education of Planters' daughters. While in the South her soul was stirred to its depths by what she saw of slavery. She became so possessed with the subject that her mind grew morbid, and she wrote a friend that, weary of *words*, which affected nothing, if this friend would *raise* a few thousand dollars and put in her hands, she would undertake the liberation of the slaves! and believed she would succeed. After a residence, at this Mississippi school, of two years, the principal feared her outspoken feeling might endanger the prosperity of the school, and therefore parted with a valued teacher. But the feeling roused must find vent in working in some way for the oppressed. Hence the thought to found a Normal School for colored girls at the Capital of the nation. The Friend alluded to above (Edmah Mrs. Thomas) welcomed *this* plan, and wished her to wait until a suitable sum of money could be raised to begin the enterprise. The reply was, "I do not want the wealth of Cressus in my pockets to begin." This Friend obtained $100, and with this sum Miss Minor embarked upon her new enterprise.

How she walked and talked to rouse the colored people to see the importance of what she wished to do; how out of school hours she hegged money of members of Congress and Senators, or importuned writers for the press to visit and report, or how she spent her vacations interesting the benevolent, getting this aid, or in gathering the library of several hundred volumes, or obtaining the current literary magazines and papers to improve and enlighten, it were vain to attempt to tell.

All this and much more was done in weakness and in weariness of flesh, and her school became one of the places in the Capital to be visited. The President's carriage came often to be seen at the humble door, to bring Mrs. Means—an aunt of Mrs. Pierce—who was attracted by Miss Minor's enthusiasm. Such prestige held in check the hatred of the mob, which was threatening and troublesome. The city papers several times at tempted to raise this lawless spirit against the struggling little Institution. But through all this spite and pro-slavery prejudice it lived and throve. Friends rallied to aid and make it permanent. Members of the Society of Friends, in Philadelphia and other places, gave largely to its founder. Among these Catharine Morris was a liberal donor, and a fine lot, with a small house was purchased for the school, and thither it was removed. Mrs. Harriet Beecher Stowe gave hearty sympathy and support to the work.

The school was composed of young girls from the well-to-do families of the city, and in refinement and intelligence—compared favorably with any young ladies' school. The schools of the present time, in Washington, may have more technical drill, but I have never seen one its equal in spirit and aspiration.

So this zealous soul worked, till, with failing health and jaded powers, unwilling to resign the charge of her school to less competent hands, or to see the scheme carried out by methods not her own, she closed it and went to seek a recovery in California, returning only to lay aside the worn out body in the city which had been the scene of her trials and her triumphs.

EMILY HOWLAND.

IS CHARLES SUMNER A STATESMAN?—REVIEW OF HIS "WAR RECORD."

BY JAMES REDPATH.

NO. II.

BOSTON, March, 1868.

To the Editor of the Standard:

UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE.

THE next subject of serious thought among the friends of the blacks related to the condition of these colored people after the war. Mr. Sumner's first practical dealing with that question was in February 1864, when a deputation of colored persons came from New Orleans asking Congress to give a qualified suffrage to them. They complained that they were coldly received by Mr. Lincoln, and consulted Mr. Sumner and Mr. Chase as to the course they should take. They had asked for suffrage for all colored men who could read and write. Mr. Sumner offered to present their petition in whatever terms it was drawn up; but recommended them, if they had authority to modify it, to broaden the prayer and ask for universal suffrage. Mr. Sumner was thus the first to take ground that no qualification of suffrage in the South should be permitted.

He waived at this time the sentiment of humanity and of right, and insisted that the ballot should be given to all, because it was only by the votes of all that we could counteract the rebel majorities. It had become now, in his view, not only a question of principle, but a mandate of necessity.

Mr. Sumner presented the petition, modified as he suggested, in February, 1864. There was no public discussion of the point at that time, as the subject was overshadowed by others more pressing—for we were then in the throes of war and its end was so little discernible that it was not till late next year that it was thought advisable to open the question in the Senate, except by presenting the petition.

OUR FOREIGN POLICY.

In February, 1863, Mr. Sumner presented resolutions declaratory of the purpose of our government to protest against any intervention by any foreign power. He took the ground then we were engaged in a war to put down slavery; that slavery was the animating principle of the rebellion, and that foreign powers could not mediate between us and the rebels without indirectly giving strength and succor to rebellion; that it was the duty of all foreign powers when any rebel agent approached them to tell them that their cause was unholy and wicked and that they ought to abandon it. These resolutions passed both Houses of Congress, and was and is regarded by many leading men as a great public act. They were communicated to all the governments where we had ministers.

Thus, again, the influence of the government was brought against slavery.

On the 10th of September, 1863, Mr. Sumner delivered his elaborate oration on our Foreign Relations to which Lord John Russell replied at Blair Gowrie, within two days after its arrival in England—a thing without precedent in English history. This speech was an elaboration of the idea that it was impossible for any civilized nation to recognize a power founded on slavery. It was made under peculiar circumstances. Mr. Sumner had information that led him to believe that the Confederate rams, then building in Glasgow, might be permitted to escape, and he felt assured that, if this was done, war would be declared between the United States and England. Mr. Seward had written a letter, and Mr. Adams had tried to stop those rams. During all that Summer everybody was kept in a state of anxiety about them.

Mr. Sumner, at the time, had a large correspondence with English leaders, and never hesitated to say that if the rams escaped to be employed in the rebel service, it would be equivalent to a declaration of war against the United States hardly less than the attack, at the beginning of the century, on Copenhagen—utterly unqualified; and that there was no principle of conduct that could look with tolerance on such an act. Was censured inwardly at this time by those circumstances, Mr. Sumner told his friends that as, from his position as Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations, and his personal acquaintance with English statesmen, he could get a hearing in England, he would make an effort to avert it by a statement of the case as it was regarded in the United States.

This explanation will account for the spirit and strong statements of that speech. It contains a very complete development of all the principles governing foreign intervention and mediation, and a full statement of the true theory of belligerent rights—the fullest that had hitherto been attempted by any author or orator.

Maximilian had been offered the crown of Mexico, but did not enter the country till May, 1864. Yet Mr. Sumner, in September, 1863, clearly predicted the result of this intervention:

"It is obvious," he said, "that this imperial invasion, though not openly directed against us, would not have been made, if our convulsions had not left the door of the continent ajar, so that foreign powers may now bravely enter in. And it is more obvious that this attempt to plant a throne by our side would have died before it saw the light, had it not been supposed that the rebel slaveMongers were about to triumph. Plainly, the whole transaction is connected with our affairs. *Let it can be but little more than a transient experiment—for who can doubt that this imperial scutic, planted by foreign care, and propped by foreign bayonets, will disappear before the ascending glory of the Republic.* * * * The French Emperor seeks to play on this Continent the very part which of old caused the contribution of Maria Theresa; nor could the 'partition' of our broad country—if in an evil hour it were accomplished—fail to be the great crime of the present century. Trampler upon the Republic in France—trampler upon the Republic in Mexico—it remains to be seen if the French Emperor can prevail as trampler upon this Republic. I do not think he can; nor am I anxious on account of *the new Emperor of Mexico, who will be as powerless as King Canute against the rising tide of the American people. His chair must be withdrawn or he will be overwhelmed.*"

A LITERARY CONTRIBUTION.

In the same month Mr. Sumner published his monogram on Franklin in the *Atlantic Monthly*—contrasting the old philosopher with John Slidell in Paris—to show that France, in her early days, welcomed Benjamin Franklin—and now the question was whether Slidell could play the part of Franklin. It was "only another turn of the kaleidescope," as Mr. Sumner expressed it, when he spoke of it to a friend.

RECONSTRUCTION.

We come now to 1864.

During all that year the Republicans were laboring to bring about a reconstruction policy, and to get Missouri to emancipate. Mr. Sumner made one or two speeches on that subject. In the Autumn he made an elaborate oration at Cooper Institute on Slavery and the Rebellion—still following out his idea of bringing the public mind to work on slavery, so that there should be no chance for its escape.

CIVIL RIGHTS.

During this year, also, the question whether there should be any exclusion from the witness-box on account of color was raised by Mr. Sumner in Congress. He put in the entering-wedge by a proposition that in the United States Courts there should be no such exclusion. Under the old rule the United States Courts adopted the rules of evidence in force in the States where the tribunals were held. On two separate motions, Mr. Sumner was defeated, as the Senate was not yet ready to come up even to this low mark.

In 1864, Mr. Sumner secured the appointment of a Senate Committee on slavery and the treatment of freedmen, of which he was Chairman, and had referred to it a bill to secure equality before the law in the Courts of the United States, and made an elaborate Report on the question of colored testimony in Courts. It was the first contribution in our country to the establishment of civil rights to the negro. The bill became a law.

During the same Summer Mr. Sumner reported, from this Committee, a bill to establish the Freedmen's Bureau, which was the beginning of the discussion of this great question in the Senate. The debate continued for several days. In the course of it Mr. Sumner made a speech, which was subsequently published under the title of "A Bridge from Slavery to Freedom."

At the same time—on the 17th of March—Mr. Sumner made another elaborate report for the repeal of all Fugitive Slave bills.

These reports are little volumes, and contain the best summaries of the slave codes of the South that have ever been made.

FRENCH SPOLIATIONS.

Notwithstanding these labors, Mr. Sumner found time to write another book, in the guise of a Report on French Spoliations—a complete history of these claims against our government for damages done to our commerce during the Revolutionary war.

EMANCIPATION WITHOUT COMPENSATION.

On the 8th of March Mr. Sumner made a speech in favor of emancipation without compensation on the question of the Constitutional Amendment, and took ground that although no amendment of the Constitution was needed, but at the same time he was perfectly willing to put anything into the Constitution that should make it more intense.

RECONSTRUCTION.

During all this period, also, Mr. Sumner was exerting himself to hasten reconstruction.

On the 27th of May Mr. Sumner presented in a Resolution the theory of reconstruction which is now practically adopted. It was offered while the Senate had under consideration the credentials of certain claimants as Senators from Arkansas. It was in these words:

Resolved, That a State pretending to secede from the Union, and battling against the National government to maintain this pretension, must be regarded as a rebel State, *subject to military occupation, and without title to representation on this floor until it has been readmitted by a vote of both Houses of Congress;* and the Senate will decline to entertain any application for any such rebel State until after such vote of both Houses of Congress.

Mr. Sumner supported this resolution in an elaborate speech.

On the 13th of June the subject of Reconstruction again came up on the question of admitting the Arkansas Senator. Mr. Sumner opposed his admission and first insisted on "irreversible guarantees."

THE RATIFICATION ISSUE.

On the question of the adoption of the Constitutional Amendment Mr. Sumner took the ground—and he was the first to do so—that three-fourths of the loyal States were sufficient to effect a legal ratification. At a subsequent day, when the question was discussed collaterally in the debate on the recognition of Louisiana, Mr. Sumner, in reply to Mr. Doolittle, tersely stated his objections to that policy:

"He says the vote of Louisiana is needed. Sir, the vote of Louisiana is not needed; and when the Senator makes that assertion, it is interposing an obstacle to the adoption of the Constitutional Amendment. Is he a friend to the Constitutional Amendment? Why, then, does he interpose an obstacle to it by foisting an unt-nable and erroneous interpretation on the Constitution of the United States? The Constitution declares that a constitutional amendment shall become to all intents and purposes a part of the Constitution when it shall be ratified by the Legislatures of three-fourths of the several States; but if no Legislatures exist in States, is the Senator going to make that excuse for avoiding the establishment of that constitutional amendment? Sir, I will not recognize the rebellion to that extent; I will not recognize the independence of the rebel States as the Senator from Wisconsin does. I insist that these rebel States shall not control the National government at this moment, in this great period of our history, and thwart the establishment of human freedom throughout the land."

In support of this view Mr. Sumner introduced two different series of resolutions insisting that the ratification of constitutional amendments may be by three-fourths of the loyal States.

Then came up

THE LOUISIANA QUESTION.

Mr. Lincoln brought forward the half-fledged State of Louisiana—hatched by Gen. Banks—and used his personal influence to secure its admission. The Judiciary Committee of the Senate, under the lead of Mr. Trumbull, were in favor of receiving it. Although Mr. Sumner was on terms of close intimacy with Mr. Lincoln, he determined to oppose his pet scheme of the hour to the utmost of his power. He could not consent to see Louisiana come in under that Constitution—formed as it was by military power, and excluding—turned off persons from the rights of citizenship. He made every effort to defeat it by speeches and contrary propositions. On the 26th of February (1865), standing almost alone, he introduced resolutions "declaring the duty of the United States to guarantee republican governments in the rebel States, on the basis of the Declaration of Independence; so that the new governments shall be founded on the consent of the governed, and the equality of all persons before the law."

Mr. Sumner defeated the bill by resorting to the Parliamentary tactics known as "filibustering." As the session was about to close, the press of other business enabled him to employ these tactics with success. It is well known that he was warmly denounced for this conduct by the majority of the party. It was held up as an instance of his "utter impracticability." Yet who denies to-day that it was wise action? For, if Louisiana had been admitted, it would have furnished a precedent for the reorganization of all the other States on the white basis and by simple Presidential power. It would have led to the admission of all the rebel States without guarantees for the future, and without protection to the freedmen. The whole case was tersely and vigorously stated, in a "nut-shell," in the concluding sentences of Mr. Sumner's reply to Mr. Trumbull of Illinois:

The United States are bound by the Constitution "to guarantee to every state a Republican form of government." *Now, when called to perform this guarantee, it is opposed to recognize as a majority of the skin.* The pretended State government in Louisiana is utterly indefensible, whether you look at its origin or its character. To describe it, I must use plain language. It is a mere seven months' abortion, begotten by the bayonet in criminal conjunction with the spirit of caste, and born before its time, rickety, unformed, unfinished whose continued existence will be a burden, a reproach and a wrong. That is the whole case; and yet the Senator from Illinois now presses it upon the Senate at this moment, to the exclusion of the important pnblic business of country.

The Conservative papers, at the time, spoke of Mr. Sumner as having "kicked Louisiana down stairs," and predicted that this action would end his relations with Mr. Lincoln. The President, on the contrary, continued his friendship unreservedly and took a special occasion to exhibit the fact on a conspicuous public occasion.

TREATMENT OF REBEL PRISONERS.

Early in January, 1865, the Military Committee of the Senate, strongly aided by Messrs. Howard and Wade, pressed a bill for retaliation against the rebels, on account of Andersonville and Libby prisons. Although this bill came from his intimate friends, personal and political, he threw his whole influence against it and gave it no quarter. He introduced counter resolutions and supported them in a powerful speech, which was pronounced by one eminent Conservative fellow-member to be the finest Senatorial effort that he ever heard.

This speech was an off-hand effort; but there are few passages in Mr. Sumner's writings more eloquent than the peroration of this unpremeditated outburst:

Do not, I pray you, consider me indifferent to the condition of those unhappy prisoners. I do not yield to the Committee or to any Senator in ardor or anxiety for their protection. Whatever can be done, I am ready to do. But, as American citizens, they have an interest that we should do nothing by which our country shall forfeit that great place which belongs to it in the vanguard of the nations. It cannot be best for them that our country should do an unworthy thing. It cannot be best for them that our national destiny should be thus darkened. Duties are in proportion to destinies, and from the very heights of our example I speak again that we cannot allow ourselves, under any passing passion or resentment, to stoop to a policy which history must condemn. There is not a patriot soldier who would not say, "Let me suffer, but save my country."

But even if you make up your minds to do this thing, you cannot. The whole idea is impracticable. The attempt must fail, because human nature is against you. *Nemo repente turpissimus.* A true name and civilized people cannot suddenly become inhuman and uncivilized. Conscience, heart, soul and body, will all rise against you. From every side will be repeated that generous cry which comes to us from the darkest day of French history, when the brave commander said to the monarch who ordered the massere of St. Bartholemew, "Sire, I have under me brave soldiers and trusty officers, but not a single executioner;" or that later cry, when the French Convention, under the lead of Barere, decreed that all English prisoners should be shot—"Wo will not shoot them," said a stout-hearted sergeant; "If the Convention takes pleasure in killing prisoners, let members kill them and eat them, like savages as they are." But the officers and soldiers of the armies of the United States are not less generous. They, too, would cry out, "Let members of Congress do this work, if it is to be done; but do not impose it upon a fellow-man."

Mr. President, it is with pain that on, this occasion, I differ from valued friends whose friendship is among the treasures of my life. But I cannot help it. I cannot do otherwise. It is long since I first raised my voice in this Chamber against the "Barbarism of Slavery," and I have never ceased to denounce it in season and out of season. *But the rebellion is nothing but that very barbarism armed for battle.* Plainly it is our duty to overcome it, *not to imitate it.* And here I stand.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON.

Then came the Session in April, 1865, and the Presidency of Mr. Johnson. As soon as Mr. Sumner saw him, he began to press him in favor of impartial suffrage—a happy phrase, by the way, which our Senator originated. The President told him that there was no difference between them!

EULOGY ON LINCOLN.

In June Mr. Sumner returned to Boston and delivered his speech on Lincoln, entitled "Promises of the Declaration of Independence." Here again he illustrated his theory that he was bound in his public career to wrest every occasion that was given to him to the cause that he had at heart, to make it tell for those principles which he now represented. Believing that our true policy was to embody the principles of the Declaration of Independence in legislation, Mr. Sumner insisted that we must now carry out its promises in our public action.

The whole burthen of the eulogy was to view Mr. Lincoln as the representative of the principles of the Declaration of Independence, and to urge the country to complete the work that he had begun in carrying his reconstruction policy in North Carolina—putting rebels in office, and men who could not take the oath.

DEMAND FOR GUARANTEES.

Mr. Sumner's next public effort was a speech at the Republican State Convention in Worcester, on "The National Security and the National Faith; Guarantees for the National Freedmen and the National Creditor." In this speech he laid down the guarantees that we should ask. The President was whipping up reconstruction so as to have the rebel States ready for admission at the meeting of Congress.

He was disappointed that they did not come up to time. Of course his policy coutemplated a complete abandonment of the freedmen.

Mr. Sumner's speech specifies all the requirements that are necessary for the establishment of a just reconstruction. He depicted the danger to the national debt and the national freedmen, if the rebels should get back without any guarantees, and brought up as an illustration his famous account of the Dikes of Holland:

"Such are the supreme objects now at heart—the *National Security and the National Faith*, or the two absorbed into one, *Security for the Future.*

THE DIKES OF HOLLAND.

"And here allow me to present an illustration, which, unless I mistake, will make our duty clear. You do not forget the immense and costly dikes, built by Holland against the sea; but, perhaps, you may not call to mind their origin and importance. Before these embankments were constructed the whole country was in constant danger. At an early period there was an irruption which destroyed no less than forty-four villages, followed very soon by another which destroyed eighty thousand more. In the fifteenth century there was still another which swept away one hundred thousand persons—a terrible sacrifice, even greater in proportion to the population than the destruction of Lisbon, by what we have been called to hear from the bloody irruption of slavery. At last the dikes were constructed as safeguards, and down to this day they are preserved at a large annual cost. Precautions are not superseded. A special corps of engineers, educated at Delft, is constantly employed in the work of renovation. Watchmen patrol the walls, and alarm bells are ready to ring. The gratitude which the people shows itself even to the unconscious protectors; and the stork, which, resting here on its flight from Africa, destroys the vermin that weaken and sap the dikes, is held in veneration, so that to kill a stork is looked upon as little less than a crime. Such are some of the defences by which Holland is guarded against danger from the sea. But how petty is her danger compared with ours! We, too, must have our dikes, with engineers to keep them strong—with watchmen to patrol them—with alarm bells to ring; and we, too, must have our storks to destroy the vermin that weaken and sap our embankments.

OUR DIKES ARE GUARANTEES.

"What shall he our defences? How shall we guard against destructive irruptions? And where shall we establish our security for the future? Our embankments must not be of earth. Walls of stone will not do. Towers, ramparts and buttresses will be impotent against our vindictive tide. The security we seek must be found in *organic law with irreversible guarantees; and these irreversible guarantees must be co-extensive with the danger.*"

The guarantees demanded by Mr. Sumner were, the inviolable unity of the Republic; the enfranchisement of the freedmen; their equality before the law; the irrepealibility of the national debt; the abjurement of the rebel debt and the education of the people. It was the first time that guarantees had been spoken of by any of our public men.

There is one fine passage in Mr. Sumner's rehearsal of the guarantees required which I would like to quote:

"In obtaining guarantees, we must rely upon acts rather than professions, and light our footsteps by 'the lamp of experience.' Therefore, we turn from recent rebels to *constant loyalists.* This is only ordinary prudence. As those who have fought against us should be disfranchised, so those who fought for us should be enfranchised, and thus a renovated State will be built secure on an unfaltering and natural loyalty. For awhile the freedman will take the place of the master, thus verifying the saying that the last shall be first and the first shall be last. In the pious hooks of the East it is declared, that the greatest mortification at the day of judgment will be when the faithful slave is carried to Paradise, and the wicked master is sent to hell; and this same reversal of conditions appears in the gospel when Dives is exhibited as suffering the pains of damnation while the beggar of other days is sheltered in Abraham's bosom. Therefore, in organizing this change, we follow divine justice. Surely nobody can doubt that Robert Small, the heroic slave, who carried a rebel steamer to our fleet and then became a pilot, deserves more of the Republic than a South Carolina official, occupied at that very time as Commissioner to regulate impressments in the rebel army. To accept the latter and reject the former will be not only to forget the injustice, but the height of meanness. It will be a deed 'to make heaven weep, all earth amazed.'"

THE Democracy of New Hampshire sent all the way to Iowa for an orator to plead their cause, and imported Henry Clay Dean. Here is a sample of the talk on which he regaled his Democratic auditors during his labors for the New Hampshire Democracy:

"If I could have my way, I would place Jeff. Davis in Congress, where he rightfully belongs; and then I would go to Concord, take all those miserable hattle-flags from the State House, and make a bonfire of them in the State House yard; then I would go all through the North, and destroy all the monuments and grave-stones erected to the memory of soldiers; in short, I would put out of sight everything which reminds us that we ever had a war with our Southern brethren. I do not know that I would hang one-legged and one-armed soldiers, but I would pray to God to get them out of our way as soon as possible."

A NEW YORK correspondent of the Chicago *Journal* writes:

"I am struck with the belief that prevades all circles that the removal of the President will bring peace and a revival of business. Two Democratic, heavy business men, who have time and again arrived at the conclusion that impeachment would be sure to bring revolution, have declared to me this afternoon that they now hoped the thing would go through, because of the business interests of the country. Although they believed the President had not intentionally violated the law, they thought the controversy ought to be brought to an end, and if it could be ended by the removal of one party to the fight, it was best to have him removed; and others who can tell you much more than I can, but the statement of such noble Christian men as Mr. Horton, of Mobile, and others, with whom I have freely conversed, are entitled to great weight. It is the undoubting opinion that Northern men have little idea of the virulence which Johnson's policy has engendered in the class who will live and die disloyal and who are struggling for control of the negro.—*New Bedford (Mass.) Standard.*

RECONSTRUCTION IN ARKANSAS.

THE way reconstruction is prosecuted in Arkansas is illustrated by the following editorial account contained in the Little Rock (Ark.) *Republican*, of March 18:

We have just learned from a messenger who left Austin last evening, of the election at the polls there. This place is about thirty-five miles from Little Rock. Our informant states that the conduct of the rebels was violent and disgraceful. The secondrels took possession of the polls, and compelled the colored voters, some thirty odd in number, to vote the rebel ticket; that these voters acknowledged that they wished to vote the Republican ticket, but did not dare to do so for fear of their lives. The ex-rebels, the meanest, perhaps, in the State, drove the messenger from the polls, and, following him several miles homeward, fired on him with pistols.

Now, then, let these whelps he arrested forthwith, and taught a lesson they will remember. That place has the reputation of being the most thoroughly rebellious in this part of the State, and we hope the military will not only order a new election at that point, but that it will be held in such a vigorous and thorough-going manner that the ex-rebel hordo will be put upon their good behavior hereafter.

AUGUSTA, March 14.

To Jas. L. Hodges, Thos. M. Bowen, Joseph Brooks, Commissioners:

The deputy sheriff prevented the civil election at Cotton Plant by force. Send me orders immediately.

D. P. UPHAM,
Special Commissioner.

On yesterday we called attention to the conduct of the anti-reconstructionists at the polls in Austin, Prairie County, on the day of election.

To-day we have to chronicle, as will be seen by the dispatch published above, another evidence of the systematic violence and intimidation which the ex-rebel leaders have advised their turbulent cohorts to pursue in the present campaign. It will be observed that their followers have adopted the advice given them, and are promptly and vigorously acting upon it. They are making up a record, which will be duly proven and remembered.

Fortunately the provisions of the schedule for holding the civil or State election anticipate and provide for just such occurrences as these. When it is considered that this civil election is fully authorized by the recent act of Congress, it will be seen what a highhanded proceeding it was on the part of this deputy sheriff to interfere with the voting at those polls. The ex-rebel leaders will make nothing by their motion.

We understand that some of their tools admitted that these leaders were very doubtful about defeating the new Constitution, but that they had determined to do so at all hazards; and, if they thought it became necessary, to accomplish this end, to resort to the means which we see they have employed at Austin, and in Woodruff County.

The mistake Congress made, and which has caused already too much expense and delay, was in submitting the work of reconstruction at all to such a perverse and rebellious people. While amongst the masses of the South there are many persons who, if they could be brought from under the control of their old leaders, are undoubtedly disposed to be honest and loyal citizens, yet it has always seemed to us that reconstruction should have been decided by the vote and action of the exclusively loyal people.

TERRORISM IN TENNESSEE.

From the New York Tribune.

REJECTING a great deal of exaggeration, and no little pure invention in the reports sent to us from Tennessee, we are still compelled to give attention to trustworthy relations of the anarchy and lawlessness that prevail in many portions of the State, and especially in the extreme eastern and western mountain regions, and the City of Memphis. Within a few weeks a mysterious organization has spread over the whole State, and its strange operations have created no little alarm. This is the Ku Klux Klan, a secret organization, whose purposes are unknown, but whose acts have, in many instances, become matter of record. It is barely possible that this order, whose name would prove it night to close disguise, and issue orders, couched in gibberish of the most incoherent, yet startling phrase, is only some huge joke; but by certain well-ascertained facts we are led to the conclusion that there is far more serious matter in it. That the order, whatever else it may be, is intensely rebel cannot be doubted. The truth is that, so far from the old spirit of proscription having died out in the hearts of the reconstructed, it has only changed as a latent fire, ever ready to burst forth in all its native violence. A Northern man, who believes that a change of residence does not invalidate the right or duty of taking an interest in the politics of the day, finds that a time which has arrived when he takes an active part in the affairs of his party, is simply one of the common herd branded as Radicals; but let him, from talent or energy in the cause, become a candidate for office, or a recognized leader in the Radical ranks, and at once all the vituperation and abuse which might be supposed to emanate from the purlieus of a fishmonger's stand is hurled upon his devoted head by the "loyal reconstructed press." If a Conservative meeting is to be held, it is almost invariably presided over and controlled by men whose loyalty dates from that of their parole, but who prate of their unfaltering devotion to the Constitution which, if rigidly adhered to, would have placed a rope about their necks. If a Conservative convention is called to make party nominations, and a ticket made in the usual form, as was the case in the election in Memphis, a ticket that should disgrace any party carrying the same, containing even the names of murderers, keepers of koro rooms, and professional gamblers, is the result. Should the unnessesse model Unionists exhorts all to stand firm, holds these same candidates to the skies as true types of Conservatism, and seeks to break down the character of every man on the Radical ticket by language and epithets foreign to all generally conceived ideas of respectable journalism. Even though such journal keeps as one of its staff a man notoriously reported to be a deserter from the rebel army, a fact which is indicated by the mark "C. S. A.," and a disciple of such classic institution as the Missouri Penitentiary for having more wives than the laws of that State generally allowed, still, despite all this, a loyal man of hitherto unblemished reputation must not, dare not be an active Radical, for fear of having such moral guardian of the people attack and break down his character through the press under his control. To such an extent have the loyal masses been held in subjection by such a vicious press that a business man dare not take any part with his party for fear of having "small-pox branded over his door."

It was this full spirit of proscription that originated the famous Alexander contempt cases, in which, lastly for the loyal men in Memphis, the Judge of the criminal bench got by far the best of it, though as it now seems, at the imminent risk of assassination. From the several judgments which involved a penalty of $10 fine and ten days in prisonment in each contempt the Judge granted an appeal, conditioned that one case go to the Supreme Court, the rest to be held as security for the cessation of all further attacks on the bench pending the decision of the case. For a violation of this agreement, the editors were incarcerated in jail on one of the suspended judgments. A writ of habeas corpus brought them before another Judge for hearing, pending decision in which the parties were released on personal recognizance. The spirit of proscription showed itself throughout the whole proceedings, in utter contempt of all respect for law. Vilings, in utter contempt of all respect for law. Villings and the ounce was openly talked of on every hand, and the daily editorials of the press were such as were calculated to create the most intense hatred in the breasts of all ex-rebels and sympathizers. A great bus and cry has been made of soldiers being called on, and yet there are few Union men who will not say that a just fear of personal violence made their presence necessary. This is conclusively proved by the attempt at assassination found by a mob of rebels on the night of the 13th, in his own house, of Judge Trimble, on the night of the Judge's return from Washington, where he had consulted with the President and Attorney-General in regard to the lawless proceedings of the Memphis authorities. Judge Trimble is a Democrat of the Douglas school, and has always been a conservative man. He is now one of the most prominent men in the State, and those of a branch of the Ku Klux Klan lost here and there.

to Memphis to be on the look-out for leading Rebels. Many supposed it was designed to intimidate blacks at the late election, but we now see that rumors pointing to assassination had some foundation. On the afternoon of the 13th, Judge Hunge was told by a friend to look out that he had overheard a plot to take his life that night at his house, but did not know the parties. The Judge repaired to the house of a friend, and got the alarm. Some time after dark, a hack drove up at his house, the door bell was rung, and the Judge was called for. His wife answering the call, saw a man at the door with his hand on a weapon, while two or three concealed themselves in the dark. Not finding the Judge, the party drove off, remarking with an oath, "We'll find him yet." Within a few moments a couple of policemen arrived, bearing the following note:

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT M. POLICE, }
MEMPHIS, March 13, 1868. }

FOR. WM. HUNTER—SIR: I have just received information from a source that I cannot disregard, that an attempt will be made, perhaps to-night, to take your life. I have treated the rum vs that have been circulated lately as idle and groundless, but now I feel it my duty to inform you of your danger and send men to protect you. Two men will go with you, and two more will be sent as soon as the theatre closes, say about eleven o'clock. Respectfully your obedient servant,
S. B. BEAUMONT, Supt. M. P.

In the meantime, friends of the Judge had repaired to the quarters of the United States Commandant and obtained a guard for the house.

Since this occurrence, Gen. Thomas has been notified officially that the criminal court cannot be held with safety to the life of the Judge, or its decrees enforced, and the Judge has adjourned the same until some order are not only prescribed and persecuted. but are, it seems, to be assassinated for carrying out that law which, impartially administered, can only be enforced by the strong arm of military power through a band of desperado bound association that by its deeds carries terror through the whole of loyal Tennessee.

INFLUENCE OF IMPEACHMENT AT THE SOUTH.—A well-known Northern minister, spending the Winter at the South, thinks that, if the state of feeling there respecting impeachment were generally known at the North, it would have a favorable effect, and says:

The impeachment of the President is working wonders here. The staunch old Unionists begin to breathe again. The rebels, till now defiant, are visibly troubled. Many of the most ultra characters in New Orleans, Mobile, and other places, tell me, if Johnson had been impeached immediately after the New Orleans riot, everything would have been settled in a prosperous train before this time. They say there is a large class of white men—foreigners who are tired of confusion. Northerners who have been too timid to risk business by braving the dominant and hitherto successful Southern spirit—who are now manifesting their readiness to come out boldly for progress and reconstruction. Only let it be settled that there is to be no backing down, no return of power to the hands of disloyal men, and the horizon will brighten at once.

It is possible you may attach some importance with this feeling, that impeachment will bring peace, in exorcising a most powerful, I may say wonderful, influence, in making Democrats in business favorable to the measure. I predict that when it is accomplished the Democratic party will accept the Republicans at the result. Within a day or two I have mingled somewhat in mercantile circles, and find that the feeling is universal that the dry goods trade will have a great revival as soon as the quarrel at Washington is settled. It is believed that the coming Presidential election will not be attended with any of the ordinary turmoil, excitement, and financial prostration, inasmuch as the opposition will regard Grant's election as a foregone conclusion, and therefore make no animated fight."

Special Dispatch to the Republican.

NASHVILLE, March 14.

NEAR Columbia, Maury Co., on Friday, a negro woman was shot dead with a negro man wounded by a ruffian named Billy McKintly. The latter was drunk at the time, and without any provocation whatever, shot the two negroes, who were proceeding to town in a wagon.

THE body of a colored man who was drowned last Fall floated ashore on Tuesday, at Crisfield, Md. After holding an inquest, the jury rendered a verdict of accidental drowning, and then—those who expect us here to say the man was beheaded have somewhat mistaken. The necessary arrangements for that ceremony not being completed, the body was towed out to the middle of the river and tied to a stake, there to float until it shall be the sovereign pleasure of whoever does the burying down that way to give it a decent interment.—*Wilmington Commercial.*

National Anti-Slavery Standard.

WITHOUT CONCEALMENT—WITHOUT COMPROMISE.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, APRIL 4, 1868.

The National Anti-Slavery Standard FOR 1868.

Chattelism has been abolished, but equal freedom for the colored race has not yet been secured. The old controversy has not been definitely ended. It has again passed from the bloody arena of the battle-field to the sphere of politics and diplomacy. The last elections present a tearful record of unrelenting prejudice against the colored race. They have revived the forlorn hopes, and renewed the desperate energies of the discomfited rebels at the South. We had fondly hoped that we were well nigh at the end of the struggle for equal freedom, irrespective of color, but through treachery, and the want of enlightened, conscientious statesmanship we are involved in a conflict of still momentous importance. Many politicians who, during the war, were willing to accept the services and to advocate the enfranchisement of colored men, now as the temptations of the presidential year approach, disgracefully surrender and abandon their cause. So too the popular churches and clergy are relaxing their interest for, and diminishing their co-operation with the freedmen, and excusing themselves on the score of disinclination for "political preaching," they ally themselves as formerly with "Christianism" and the dominant spirit of prejudice against race.

Under these circumstances it is of the greatest importance to have a thoroughly Radical, independent journal, which, like THE STANDARD, may speak freely and fearlessly for justice and impartial freedom, with nothing to gain or lose by party triumphs or losses. During the past year our circulation has steadily increased with each month. Frequent calls for the paper come to our office from the South. THE STANDARD now goes regularly each week to Charleston, Mobile, New Orleans, Memphis, Nashville, Richmond and at other points in the South. We have arrangements in progress for its general introduction to the notice of the leading colored men and white Radicals throughout the South the present year. The importance of an intelligent alliance between uncompromising Radicals at the North and their coadjutors at the South can scarcely be over-estimated in the present condition of national affairs.

WENDELL PHILLIPS will continue as the past year an editorial writer for the columns of THE STANDARD. It will also be the medium through which his lectures and addresses, as revised by himself, will be given to the public. Other able writers will contribute regularly to its columns. We shall continue to present a department of choice literary miscellany, and while chiefly devoted to the consideration of the freedom and well-being of the colored race, our columns will, as hitherto, hospitably entertain the claims of movements of a kindred end and aim, as the rights of women, temperance, education, etc.

The period through which we are now passing is one of the most critical thus far in our national experience. Though the late reverses in the elections may dishearten those whose dependence is upon the Republican party, it should be borne in mind that it has been, and still is, the high prerogative of Abolitionists to create the opinion which makes and unmakes parties in the service of freedom.

We appeal earnestly and confidently therefore to all friends to unite heartily with us in an effort to extend the circulation of THE STANDARD as the emergency of the cause it represents demands.

MRS. LYDIA MARIA CHILD, in a letter accompanying a remittance for THE STANDARD, writes as follows:

WAYLAND, MASS., Feb. 1, 1868.

AARON M. POWELL—*Dear Sir:* Enclosed is $3 00, our yearly subscription for THE STANDARD. Money cannot pay for the privilege of having a paper that speaks the truth boldly, without fear or favor, alike unfettered by sect, and untrammelled by any political party. Such a paper was never more needed than at the present time, and I am thankful to see that so many friends rally to its support.

Yours, cordially,
L. MARIA CHILD.

PREMIUMS.

We offer our friends what we are sure will be esteemed very liberal and welcome compensation for their efforts to add to our subscription list, namely: to old subscribers who renew their subscriptions and send one new subscriber for the year ($6; or to any who will send two new subscribers ($9) we will send either of the following very desirable books:

Wendell Phillips' "Speeches, Lectures and Letters." 362 pages, with steel portrait—price $2.50.

Lydia Maria Child's "Romance of the Republic." 442 pages—price $2.50.

Caroline H. Dall's "College, Market and Court. 408 pages—price $2.50.

THE SITUATION.

EVERYTHING looks bright at Washington. The majority in Congress and on the Supreme Bench seems to know its duty and to be fully resolved to do it. The trial of the President goes forward as fast as an affair of such moment can properly do. We have very little doubt as to the result. To be sure, the prominent actors seem more than usually able to keep their own counsel; hence the rumors from the Capitol really amount to little. But we have one fact to guide our expectations. Messrs. Washburne of Illinois, Wilson of Iowa, and Bingham of Ohio, are not impulsive children, but cool-headed, selfish politicians. They have opposed Impeachment for the last ten months, on the ground that it was madness to begin it while it was certain the Senate would not convict. Now we have full faith in the caution and selfish prudence of Mr. Washburne, and we believe he and his comrades never changed front and advocated Impeachment until they were assured that thirty-six Senators stood ready to convict. Our belief in the calculating wariness of these gentlemen is so perfect that we feel sure that when Mr. Washburne made his hot, impulsive speech, he *knew* how Senators would vote. Our only anxiety is for the chance Mr. Johnson has to secure delay, and thus gain time to attack the purpose of individual Senators. Great lawyers undoubtedly believe he relies on their arguments, and very properly address themselves to the task of preparing them. All the world, except those worthy gentlemen, knows that Mr. Johnson sets them up as a mere screen behind which to carry on, unseen, his efforts to undermine the majority in the Senate.

But we feel sure the country is too fully roused to tolerate traitors in the Senate. Democrats as well as Republicans hail Impeachment as the only path to improvement in business and to industrial prosperity. In the West men say let no Republican Senator venture to cross the mountains or the Mississippi who betrays his constituents now. The Presidential canvass is too near, the need of government patronage to check the Democratic party too urgent, to allow any wavering. What we never could get out of the virtue of the Republican party we seem likely to get from its fears. The South in anarchy, business stagnant, Johnson defiant and triumphant, Charles Francis Adams Democratic candidate and Seward his bottle-holder, with the government patronage in his hand, was too appalling a prospect. Hence Grant managers, like Washburne; traders, like Senator Wilson; betrayers of their constituents, like Bingham of Ohio and Wilso of Iowa, *get tight* on this matter of Impeaching Johnson. In such an emergency we see our advantage. No matter for the motive; all Hail the result. We see the almost absolute certainty of the right result in this absolute need under which the party labors.

We pointed out, as long ago as January, 1866, the danger which lies in the direction of the Supreme Court; a danger which has just obliged Congress to take away its appellate jurisdiction. This is the first step. Now increase the number of judges so soon as Mr. Wade stands authorized to nominate them. In March, 1862, Mr. Stevens recognized the need of thus infusing new blood into that Court, and bringing it into line with the Nation. But he feared to trust Mr. Lincoln with the nomination of the new judges. The action to-day of those judges whom Mr. Lincoln did nominate proves how wise was Mr. Stevens's caution. Two out of Lincoln's four nominees have deserted us. Their votes constitute to-day the danger which threatens the national cause from that quarter. We are glad, therefore, to hear that whenever Mr. Wade sits in the White House, the plan will be renewed so to remodel that Court as to bring it into harmony with the nation.

All honor to brave THADDEUS STEVENS for his movement to secure universal suffrage North and South. This is another indispensable guaranty. Could we shape it to suit ourselves, we would have a Constitutional Amendment securing the right of suffrage, both in State and National elections, to every citizen who has not forfeited it by crime. As it is, we claim a Constitutional Amendment which shall forbid any State to make distinctions among its citizens, in civil or political rights, on account of race, color or previous condition. We shall take occasion frequently and constantly to press this measure, as one indispensable to future peace.

While all these things cheer us, the only little cloud on the horizon is this. A Nation which has suffered and is yet suffering so severely from heedlessly putting at the head a demagogue whose private habits and political training both unfitted him for the post, stands ready to commit the helm, him for the post, stands ready to commit the helm, him for the post, stands ready to commit the helm,

ure to write, for fear that they would be ridiculed by the boys. Here we are somewhat advanced from that position, but we are not yet granting woman her right in education. In our high school, up to the present time, the girls have taken more than their share of graduations, they may they not go beyond the number of medals. Why may they not go beyond the high schools and through college as well as their brothers? It is not thought desirable to admit them to Harvard with boys, that let the State establish an Harvard with boys, that let the State establish an equal rank here in Worcester it may be, institution of equal rank here in Worcester it may be, where girls may obtain a complete education. Our lower grades of civilization have restricted woman to lower grades of civilization, but enlightened conditions, but enlightened nations have elevated her to freedom in society and nations have elevated her to freedom in society and woman of to-day is but half educated who has been re-moved from all participation in the affairs of man of to-day is but half educated who has been re-moved from all participation in the affairs of man from a free commingling with the otherwise in-mingled from a free commingling with the otherwise in-terests of life. But even here we are terests of life. But even here we are half civilized; there will be in too many cases only half civilized; there will be in too many cases only the upstrodge of the husband, and can never decide for herself upstrodge of the husband, and can never decide for herself even in the most trivial questions of every day even in the most trivial questions of every day life. She is kept in ignorance of every disability, obstacle, or skill that she should be removed, and let every woman does not want to vote. She is no indi-vidual woman does not want to vote. She is no indi-vidual woman would no doubt be content vidual woman would no doubt be content to rest. Her custom or prejudice shall be removed, and let to rest. Her custom or prejudice shall be removed, and let every woman choose her own calling, career and destiny. It every woman choose her own calling, career and destiny. It false education which presents marriage as the only false education which presents marriage as the only true open to woman. If this falls why should she true open to woman. If this falls why should she remain a burden to her parents rather than take some remain a burden to her parents rather than take some honorable as far as it is well done; but woman has ca-honorable as far as it is well done; but woman has ca-pacities which are beyond this, and she should employ pacities which are beyond this, and she should employ her special pursuit and become self-sustaining? her special pursuit and become self-sustaining? We feel that there is too much truth in the assertion We feel that there is too much truth in the assertion that woman does not want to vote. She is too indif-that woman does not want to vote. She is too indif-ferent. If woman would no doubt be content ferent. If woman would no doubt be content to rest. Her home in silks, velvets, and idleness, and express home in silks, velvets, and idleness, and express little interest in the great questions of society. They little interest in the great questions of society. They would be awakened and interested. Household work would be awakened and interested. Household work could be as far as it is well done; but woman has ca-could be as far as it is well done; but woman has ca-pacities which are beyond this, and she should employ pacities which are beyond this, and she should employ her special pursuit and become self-sustaining? her special pursuit and become self-sustaining? No one can do her whole duty at home, and still No one can do her whole duty at home, and still every part of her mind and heart can shine beyond its every part of her mind and heart can shine beyond its present sphere. If one

Woman should be interested in questions which Woman should be interested in questions which affect the town and the state. Men have affect the town and the state. Men have studied politics, and have made it a poor, mean scram-studied politics, and have made it a poor, mean scram-ble for office, still the city is as much in the dust ble for office, still the city is as much in the dust and out of society as an unclean thing. Do men and out of society as an unclean thing. Do men think feel that this is the true character of politics? think feel that this is the true character of politics? Is it the application of the golden rule of the Gos-Is it the application of the golden rule of the Gos-pel to all public questions, of finance, tariff, charity pel to all public questions, of finance, tariff, charity and education? Is it not to secure justice in the State? and education? Is it not to secure justice in the State? The evil state of politics is no excuse for women to The evil state of politics is no excuse for women to reject its vote. Will men abandon their power there reject its vote. Will men abandon their power there because they may meet drunken rowdies there? We because they may meet drunken rowdies there? We know that the vile and bad will vote. Give know that the vile and bad will vote. Give every intelligent vote so much the more. every intelligent vote so much the more. Give every opportunity and intelligent women will vote. We every opportunity and intelligent women will vote. We are told that only the vile and bad will vote. This are told that only the vile and bad will vote. This is not even a question of State, of politics, is not even a question of State, of politics, owning, or to discuss a question of State, of politics, owning, or to discuss a question of State, of politics, as Mr. Ingram in 1739, Lord Chief-Justice Lee referred to a as Mr. Ingram in 1739, Lord Chief-Justice Lee referred to a decision in Holt v. Lyle, that "a *feme sole* freeholder decision in Holt v. Lyle, that "a *feme sole* freeholder may claim a voice for Parliament men; but if married her may claim a voice for Parliament men; but if married her husband must vote for her." And some of the husband must vote for her." And some of the other judges on the bench said they could see no dis-other judges on the bench said they could see no dis-ability in a woman for voting at a parliamentary elec-ability in a woman for voting at a parliamentary elec-tion. On the whole, therefore, Mr. Anstey concludes tion. On the whole, therefore, Mr. Anstey concludes that women are not disqualified from voting. It is that women are not disqualified from voting. It is scarcely likely that the courts would ignore the force of scarcely likely that the courts would ignore the force of custom in the matter and confirm the false claim, but custom in the matter and confirm the false claim, but the proper way to settle the difficulty is to make the the proper way to settle the difficulty is to make the new act perfectly positive and explicit on this point.—new act perfectly positive and explicit on this point.—*Full Mall Gazette.*

CARD OF EXPLANATION FROM "NED BUNTLINE."

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Fearing, from the absence of any remark, that a little rhyme, read at the people's meeting in favor of the present Excise law, noticed in your paper (4th inst.) only by its title, "The Rum Seller's Rights," should be misunderstood as favoring a class whom I look upon as ac-cessories in nine-tenths of the crimes and the primary cause of full two-thirds of the pauperism of the city, I beg to state that it was satirical on the title as well as its matter, for I believe their so-called rights to be nothing but a mass of wrongs upon the community, in every shape. Murderers and robbers, dealing out continual death, dealing man of reputation, health, honor and all that makes life precious; robbing children of bread, homes of every comfort, men of all the results of in-dustry, the rum-sellers are a curse to the land. Such is my private opinion, publicly expressed.

E. Z. C. JUDSON, ("Ned Buntline.")

THE NEW ENGLAND M. E. CONFERENCE APPROVES IN-PEACHMENT—*Boston, March 28.*—During the session of the Conference of the New England Methodist Episco-pal Church, the Committee on the Course of the Coun-try, through the Rev. Gilbert Haven, unanimously adopted resolutions approving impeachment, and cutting Gen. Grant and Secretary Stanton for their pa-triotic conduct. It was voted to send copies to Sen-ator Sumner of the House of Representatives, the Presi-dent of the Senate, Secretary Stanton, Gen. Grant, and the Chief-Justice of the United States.

LITERARY.

'A DEFENCE OF VIRGINIA, AND THROUGH HER, OF THE SOUTH, IN RECENT AND PENDING CONTESTS AGAINST THE SECTIONAL PARTY. By Prof. Robert L. Dab-ney, D.D., of Virginia, late of the Confederate ar-my. New York: E. J. Hale & Son, 46 Murray street. 1867. 12 mo., pp. 356.

ONCE more, but probably for the last time, a Reverend Doctor of Divinity writes an elaborate and thorough-going defence of slavery. He does not dream of affect-ing "the perverted judgment of the great anti-slavery party which now rules the hour;" he lays this pious and filial defence upon the tomb of his "murdered mo-ther, Virginia"; and he weeps over the fact that slavery is "totally overthrown, and never to be restored" there. Abolitionism is now more rampant and mis-chievous than ever. It lives, he thinks, as infidelity in the Church, and as Jacobinism in the State; and its worst consequences have been, not taking the property, the privileges, the lives, but "degrading and debauch-ing the moral sensibilities and principles" of the slave-holders: by which he means, we suppose, that a good many of them are now seeing the folly as well as the wickedness which were always inherent in slavery.

"To the national historian of the future it will appear, Dr. Dabney thinks, "one of the most curious vagaries of human history that the Christianity and philanthro-py of our day should have given co-disproportionate an attention to the evils of African slavery:" this whim or fashion, however, actually exists; and its results have borne hard upon the Southern people, in great part through the venomous disposition of the Yankees, who, "having the manufacture of American books chiefly in their hands [how came that? we wonder!], took pains to fill Europe and their own country with industrious slanders against their own Southern brethren." Their motive for this was "to reduce the South to a state of colonial de-pendency upon themselves, and exclude all other na-tions from *the rich plunder which they were accustomed to divide from the oppressed section.*"—pp. 11, 12.

The error of their politicians, Dr. Dabney tells us, was that "They failed to meet the Abolitionists with sufficient persistence and force on the radical question —*the righteousness* of African servitude as existing among us."—p. 13. This fundamental point did indeed receive discussion at the Southern pulpit at the hands of clergymen and literary men, which has evoked a number of works of the highest merit and power. . . .

But such is the customary and overweening egoti-ism of the Yankee mind, that none of these works, whatever their merit, could ever obtain circulation or general reading at the North."—pp. 13, 14.

He suggests (p. 15) "two courses, either of which might have been followed by our politicians, in defend-ing our Federal rights against Abolitionism. One plan would have been to have made the whole question of slavery persistently from the national councils, as extra-constitutional and dangerous, and to assert this exclu-sion always, and at every risk, as the essential condition of the continuance of the South in those councils. The other plan was, to me it that abstract question from the first, as underlying and determining the whole subject, and to debate it everywhere until it was decided, and the verdict of the national mind was passed upon it. Unfortunately, the Southern men did neither persist-ently."

Having thrown out these introductory suggestions, Dr. Dabney proceeds to say, (pp. 21-2), "the cause of our defence is the cause of God's Word, and of its su-preme authority over the human conscience. For, as we shall evince, that Word is on our side, and the teach-ings of Abolitionism are clearly of rationalistic origin, of infidel tendency, and only sustained by reckless and incentious perversions of the meaning of the sacred text. . . . The anti-scriptural, infidel and radi-cal grounds upon which our assailants have placed them-selves, make our cause practically the cause of truth and order."

The position which Dr. Dabney takes in this volume is, "that the relation of master and slave is recognized as lawful in itself by a sound philosophy, and above all, by the Word of God," and he proposes "to argue this proposition chiefly on Bible grounds."

The style of remark throughout the first part of the volume is not permitted to testify against a white

KLAN.

CINCINNATI, March 28, 1868.

The Gazette has a dispatch stating that the murder-ous Ku Klux Klan left documents in Mr. Patrick Ha-ney's house, near Waverley, Tenn., a few days ago, warning him to quit the country. He paid no atten-tion to it, but kept on with his work. Subsequently, a large company of rebels, disguised and armed, dragged him out of his house at about midnight, carried him to a creek three miles distant, tied a rope round his neck, and dragged him up and down the creek, pulled out his hair and beard, kicked and whipped him, and left him living unconscious in the woods. He was found the following evening by his wife. Mr. Haney is well known in Nashville as a lover of the Union. The Ku Klux visited the house of George Bryant, colored, last night, and ordered him from Nashville, and disbanded all mission. Not having any faith in their ghostly pro-fessions, the colored man deemed them admission, but levelled his musket at the leader, and made a precipitate retreat. He recognized in one of the scoundrels a neighbor named Warren, and has taken out a warrant for his arrest. The Eagle (Ku Klux organ) has pub-lished an extra, warning Union men and negroes not to leave their homes until after the election.

WOMAN SUFFRAGE.—The recording of a vote by a wo-man at the recent Manchester election gives a practical interest to the discussion, into which Mr. Anstey goes at some length, of the legal interpretation of the term "man" in the new Reform act. By Lord Romilly's act (the Lord Chancellor) the language of Parliament is is provided that "in all acts words importing the mas-culine gender shall be deemed and taken to include fe-males, unless the contrary be expressly provided. But, notwithstanding Mr. Disraeli's assumption in his sancy answer to Mr. Denman that this express provision was supplied in the Reform bill, nothing of the kind is to be found either in the original measure or in the act as finally passed. Throughout the whole of the act the word "man" is used without anything to indicate or confirm its exclusive application to males, and therefore according to Lord Romilly's act, just referred to, man must, under such circumstances, be held to include woman. According to this construction Mr. Mill need not have troubled himself to propose the substituting of the term "person," seeing that his object was already attained. At the same time the division on Mr. Mill's proposal showed clearly enough that the determination of the House to have nothing to do with female voters; still the question is whether the courts of law, if the point were carried before them, would take any notice of the intention of the Legislature, or would insist on reading the words in their legal meaning. A woman may of course be a householder or freeholder or bur-gher, ratepayer or parishioner, as has been decided by the Court of Queen's Bench that she may answer the description of a "person paying sect and lot within the City of London." A woman is not disqualified from filling the office of High Constable, High Constable, overseer, returning officer at elections, common con-stable, or sexton; and her title to be excused from va-rious public duties does not necessarily imply her dis-ability to exercise them. In a well-known case, Oliva v. Ingram in 1739, Lord Chief-Justice Lee referred to a decision in Holt v. Lyle, that "a *feme sole* freeholder may claim a voice for Parliament men; but if married her husband must vote for her." And some of the other judges on the bench said they could see no dis-ability in a woman for voting at a parliamentary elec-tion. On the whole, therefore, Mr. Anstey concludes that women are not disqualified from voting. It is scarcely likely that the courts would ignore the force of custom in the matter and confirm the false claim, but the proper way to settle the difficulty is to make the new act perfectly positive and explicit on this point.—*Pall Mall Gazette.*

CARD OF EXPLANATION FROM "NED BUNTLINE."

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Fearing, from the absence of any remark, that a little rhyme, read at the people's meeting in favor of the present Excise law, noticed in your paper (4th inst.) only by its title, "The Rum Seller's Rights," should be misunderstood as favoring a class whom I look upon as ac-cessories in nine-tenths of the crimes and the primary cause of full two-thirds of the pauperism of the city, I beg to state that it was satirical on the title as well as its matter, for I believe their so-called rights to be nothing but a mass of wrongs upon the community, in every shape. Murderers and robbers, dealing out continual death, dealing man of reputation, health, honor and all that makes life precious; robbing children of bread, homes of every comfort, men of all the results of in-dustry, the rum-sellers are a curse to the land. Such is my private opinion, publicly expressed.

E. Z. C. JUDSON, ("Ned Buntline.")

THE NEW ENGLAND M. E. CONFERENCE APPROVES IM-PEACHMENT—*Boston, March 28.*—During the session of the Conference of the New England Methodist Episco-pal Church, the Committee on the Course of the Coun-try, through the Rev. Gilbert Haven, unanimously adopted resolutions approving impeachment, and cutting Gen. Grant and Secretary Stanton for their pa-triotic conduct. It was voted to send copies to Sen-ator Sumner of the House of Representatives, the Presi-dent of the Senate, Secretary Stanton, Gen. Grant, and the Chief-Justice of the United States.

LITERARY.

'A DEFENCE OF VIRGINIA, AND THROUGH HER, OF THE SOUTH, IN RECENT AND PENDING CONTESTS AGAINST THE SECTIONAL PARTY. By Prof. Robert L. Dab-ney, D.D., of Virginia, late of the Confederate ar-my. New York: E. J. Hale & Son, 46 Murray street. 1867. 12 mo., pp. 356.

ONCE more, but probably for the last time, a Reverend Doctor of Divinity writes an elaborate and thorough-going defence of slavery. He does not dream of affect-ing "the perverted judgment of the great anti-slavery party which now rules the hour;" he lays this pious and filial defence upon the tomb of his "murdered mo-ther, Virginia"; and he weeps over the fact that slavery is "totally overthrown, and never to be restored" there. Abolitionism is now more rampant and mis-chievous than ever. It lives, he thinks, as infidelity in the Church, and as Jacobinism in the State; and its worst consequences have been, not taking the property, the privileges, the lives, but "degrading and debauch-ing the moral sensibilities and principles" of the slave-holders: by which he means, we suppose, that a good many of them are now seeing the folly as well as the wickedness which were always inherent in slavery.

"To the national historian of the future it will appear, Dr. Dabney thinks, "one of the most curious vagaries of human history that the Christianity and philanthro-py of our day should have given co-disproportionate an attention to the evils of African slavery:" this whim or fashion, however, actually exists; and its results have borne hard upon the Southern people, in great part through the venomous disposition of the Yankees, who, "having the manufacture of American books chiefly in their hands [how came that? we wonder!], took pains to fill Europe and their own country with industrious slanders against their own Southern brethren." Their motive for this was "to reduce the South to a state of colonial de-pendency upon themselves, and exclude all other na-tions from *the rich plunder which they were accustomed to divide from the oppressed section.*"—pp. 11, 12.

The error of their politicians, Dr. Dabney tells us, was that "They failed to meet the Abolitionists with sufficient persistence and force on the radical question —*the righteousness* of African servitude as existing among us."—p. 13. This fundamental point did indeed receive discussion at the Southern pulpit at the hands of clergymen and literary men, which has evoked a number of works of the highest merit and power. . . .

But such is the customary and overweening egoti-ism of the Yankee mind, that none of these works, whatever their merit, could ever obtain circulation or general reading at the North."—pp. 13, 14.

He suggests (p. 15) "two courses, either of which might have been followed by our politicians, in defend-ing our Federal rights against Abolitionism. One plan would have been to have made the whole question of slavery persistently from the national councils, as extra-constitutional and dangerous, and to assert this exclu-sion always, and at every risk, as the essential condition of the continuance of the South in those councils. The other plan was, to me it that abstract question from the first, as underlying and determining the whole subject, and to debate it everywhere until it was decided, and the verdict of the national mind was passed upon it. Unfortunately, the Southern men did neither persist-ently."

Having thrown out these introductory suggestions, Dr. Dabney proceeds to say, (pp. 21-2), "the cause of our defence is the cause of God's Word, and of its su-preme authority over the human conscience. For, as we shall evince, that Word is on our side, and the teach-ings of Abolitionism are clearly of rationalistic origin, of infidel tendency, and only sustained by reckless and incentious perversions of the meaning of the sacred text. . . . The anti-scriptural, infidel and radi-cal grounds upon which our assailants have placed them-selves, make our cause practically the cause of truth and order."

The position which Dr. Dabney takes in this volume is, "that the relation of master and slave is recognized as lawful in itself by a sound philosophy, and above all, by the Word of God," and he proposes "to argue this proposition chiefly on Bible grounds."

The style of remark throughout the first part of the volume is not permitted to testify against a white

turn to write, for fear that they would be ridiculed by the boys. Here we are somewhat advanced from that position, but we are not yet granting woman her right in education. In our high school, up to the present time, the girls have taken more than their share of graduations, they may they not go beyond the number of medals. Why may they not go beyond the high schools and through college as well as their brothers? It is not thought desirable to admit them to Harvard with boys, that let the State establish an Harvard with boys, that let the State establish an equal rank here in Worcester it may be, where girls may obtain a complete education. Our lower grades of civilization have restricted woman to lower grades of civilization, but enlightened nations have elevated her to freedom in society and woman of to-day is but half educated who has been re-moved from all participation in the affairs of man from a free commingling with the otherwise in-terests of life. But even here we are half civilized; there will be in too many cases only the upstrodge of the husband, and can never decide for herself even in the most trivial questions of every day life. She is kept in ignorance of every disability, obstacle, or skill that she should be removed, and let every woman does not want to vote. She is no indi-vidual woman would no doubt be content to rest. Her home in silks, velvets, and idleness, and express little interest in the great questions of society. They would be awakened and interested. Household work could be as far as it is well done; but woman has ca-pacities which are beyond this, and she should employ her special pursuit and become self-sustaining? No one can do her whole duty at home, and still every part of her mind and heart can shine beyond its present sphere. If one

Woman should be interested in questions which affect the town and the state. Men have studied politics, and have made it a poor, mean scram-ble for office, still the city is as much in the dust and out of society as an unclean thing. Do men think feel that this is the true character of politics? Is it the application of the golden rule of the Gos-pel to all public questions, of finance, tariff, charity and education? Is it not to secure justice in the State? The evil state of politics is no excuse for women to reject its vote. Will men abandon their power there because they may meet drunken rowdies there? We know that the vile and bad will vote. Give every intelligent vote so much the more. Give every opportunity and intelligent women will vote. We are told that only the vile and bad will vote. This is not even a question of State, of politics, owning, or to discuss a question of State, of politics, as Mr. Ingram in 1739, Lord Chief-Justice Lee referred to a decision in Holt v. Lyle, that "a *feme sole* freeholder may claim a voice for Parliament men; but if married her husband must vote for her." And some of the other judges on the bench said they could see no dis-ability in a woman for voting at a parliamentary elec-tion. On the whole, therefore, Mr. Anstey concludes that women are not disqualified from voting. It is scarcely likely that the courts would ignore the force of custom in the matter and confirm the false claim, but the proper way to settle the difficulty is to make the new act perfectly positive and explicit on this point.—*Pall Mall Gazette.*

CARD OF EXPLANATION FROM "NED BUNTLINE."

To the Editor of the New York Times:

Fearing, from the absence of any remark, that a little rhyme, read at the people's meeting in favor of the present Excise law, noticed in your paper (4th inst.) only by its title, "The Rum Seller's Rights," should be misunderstood as favoring a class whom I look upon as ac-cessories in nine-tenths of the crimes and the primary cause of full two-thirds of the pauperism of the city, I beg to state that it was satirical on the title as well as its matter, for I believe their so-called rights to be nothing but a mass of wrongs upon the community, in every shape. Murderers and robbers, dealing out continual death, dealing man of reputation, health, honor and all that makes life precious; robbing children of bread, homes of every comfort, men of all the results of in-dustry, the rum-sellers are a curse to the land. Such is my private opinion, publicly expressed.

E. Z. C. JUDSON, ("Ned Buntline.")

THE NEW ENGLAND M. E. CONFERENCE APPROVES IM-PEACHMENT—*Boston, March 28.*—During the session of the Conference of the New England Methodist Episco-pal Church, the Committee on the Course of the Coun-try, through the Rev. Gilbert Haven, unanimously adopted resolutions approving impeachment, and cutting Gen. Grant and Secretary Stanton for their pa-triotic conduct. It was voted to send copies to Sen-ator Sumner of the House of Representatives, the Presi-dent of the Senate, Secretary Stanton, Gen. Grant, and the Chief-Justice of the United States.

LITERARY.

'A DEFENCE OF VIRGINIA, AND THROUGH HER, OF THE SOUTH, IN RECENT AND PENDING CONTESTS AGAINST THE SECTIONAL PARTY. By Prof. Robert L. Dab-ney, D.D., of Virginia, late of the Confederate ar-my. New York: E. J. Hale & Son, 46 Murray street. 1867. 12 mo., pp. 356.

ONCE more, but probably for the last time, a Reverend Doctor of Divinity writes an elaborate and thorough-going defence of slavery. He does not dream of affect-ing "the perverted judgment of the great anti-slavery party which now rules the hour;" he lays this pious and filial defence upon the tomb of his "murdered mo-ther, Virginia"; and he weeps over the fact that slavery is "totally overthrown, and never to be restored" there. Abolitionism is now more rampant and mis-chievous than ever. It lives, he thinks, as infidelity in the Church, and as Jacobinism in the State; and its worst consequences have been, not taking the property, the privileges, the lives, but "degrading and debauch-ing the moral sensibilities and principles" of the slave-holders: by which he means, we suppose, that a good many of them are now seeing the folly as well as the wickedness which were always inherent in slavery.

"To the national historian of the future it will appear, Dr. Dabney thinks, "one of the most curious vagaries of human history that the Christianity and philanthro-py of our day should have given co-disproportionate an attention to the evils of African slavery:" this whim or fashion, however, actually exists; and its results have borne hard upon the Southern people, in great part through the venomous disposition of the Yankees, who, "having the manufacture of American books chiefly in their hands [how came that? we wonder!], took pains to fill Europe and their own country with industrious slanders against their own Southern brethren." Their motive for this was "to reduce the South to a state of colonial de-pendency upon themselves, and exclude all other na-tions from *the rich plunder which they were accustomed to divide from the oppressed section.*"—pp. 11, 12.

The error of their politicians, Dr. Dabney tells us, was that "They failed to meet the Abolitionists with sufficient persistence and force on the radical question —*the righteousness* of African servitude as existing among us."—p. 13. This fundamental point did indeed receive discussion at the Southern pulpit at the hands of clergymen and literary men, which has evoked a number of works of the highest merit and power. . . .

But such is the customary and overweening egoti-ism of the Yankee mind, that none of these works, whatever their merit, could ever obtain circulation or general reading at the North."—pp. 13, 14.

He suggests (p. 15) "two courses, either of which might have been followed by our politicians, in defend-ing our Federal rights against Abolitionism. One plan would have been to have made the whole question of slavery persistently from the national councils, as extra-constitutional and dangerous, and to assert this exclu-sion always, and at every risk, as the essential condition of the continuance of the South in those councils. The other plan was, to me it that abstract question from the first, as underlying and determining the whole subject, and to debate it everywhere until it was decided, and the verdict of the national mind was passed upon it. Unfortunately, the Southern men did neither persist-ently."

Having thrown out these introductory suggestions, Dr. Dabney proceeds to say, (pp. 21-2), "the cause of our defence is the cause of God's Word, and of its su-preme authority over the human conscience. For, as we shall evince, that Word is on our side, and the teach-ings of Abolitionism are clearly of rationalistic origin, of infidel tendency, and only sustained by reckless and incentious perversions of the meaning of the sacred text. . . . The anti-scriptural, infidel and radi-cal grounds upon which our assailants have placed them-selves, make our cause practically the cause of truth and order."

The position which Dr. Dabney takes in this volume is, "that the relation of master and slave is recognized as lawful in itself by a sound philosophy, and above all, by the Word of God," and he proposes "to argue this proposition chiefly on Bible grounds."

The style of remark throughout the first part of the volume is not permitted to testify against a white

MISS DICKINSON AT ELMIRA.

ELMIRA, N. Y., March 24th.

DEAR MR. POWELL: ANNA DICKINSON gave us a magic-al speech last Friday. It was touchingly beauti-ful to see that fair young girl stand and plead with brave men for liberty and justice to the black race! I never loved her more! Such a precious revelation of her own mind, conscience, courage, faith! The El-mira Republicans had just suffered defeat, and per-haps were softened to hear her exhortations, that it was better to take defeat with open principles than win victory with principles concealed behind the smoke of one man's cigar. She warned the Republi-cans of New York not to withhold justice from their colored fellow-citizens, lest the cry of their blood should go up through the streets of New Orleans with Jeff. Davis's name, as Ohio had done. Her rebuke of Hancock was noble and eloquent. She declared it the great marvel of the century that the old rebels should come to be aristocrats, and were in position to wield power and influence. Robert Lee, head of a college, and loyal Northern men like Ward Beecher giving money to him!

This bold, outspoken criticism is of unspeakable value in a community, that hears no other voice not hired to the service of party—like this town, and most other places Miss Dickinson visits—for mo-ving on the land. It is matter of gratitude that she has found such heroism to get away beyond the Mississippi river, and speak her freest word for justice to the black man, and right to woman.

SALLIE HOLLEY.

INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT.—Authors who may be unable to attend the meeting at the Historical Rooms, corner of 3d avenue and 11th street, on the evening of April 8th, are requested to send their address and opin-ion upon International copyright to the Chairman of the Committee.

GEORGE P. PUTNAM, 661 Broadway, New York.

THE New Orleans *Republican* says that twenty free-men, who engaged at Key West to go down the Florida coast to cut timber there, sailed last week on the brig Cabernet and the small schooner Lost Canoe, but the Florida coast, ran the vessel into Matanzas, where they went into the interior of the kidnappers was to Matanzas, where they went into the interior of the kidnappers was to Matanzas, where they went into the interior of the kidnappers was to

Miscellaneous Department.

SPRING HAS COME.

INTRA MUROS.

BY OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES.

The sunbeams lost for half a year,
Slant through my pane their morning rays;
For dry northwesters cold and clear,
The east blows in its thin blue haze.

And first the snow drop's bells are seen,
Then close against the sheltering wall
The tulip's horn of dusky green,
The peony's dark unfolding ball.

The golden chaliced crocus burns;
The long narcissus' blades appear;
The cone-beaked hyacinth returns
To light her blue-flamed chandelier.

The willow's whistling lashes, wrung
By the wild winds of the gusty March,
With sallow leaflets lightly strung,
Are swaying by the tufted larch.

The elms have robed their slender spray
With full-blown flower and embryo leaf;
Wide o'er the clasping arch of day
Soars like a cloud their hoary chief.

See the proud tulip's flaunting cup,
That flames in glory for an hour—
Behold it withering—then look up—
How meek the forest monarch's flower!

When wake the violets, Winter dies;
When sprout the elm-buds, Spring is near;
When lilacs blossom, Summer cries,
"Bud, little roses! Spring is here."

The windows blush with fresh bouquets,
Cut with the May-dew on their lips;
The radish all its bloom displays,
Pink as Aurora's finger-tips.

Nor less the flood of light that showers
On beauty's changed corolla shades—
The walks are gay as bridal bowers
With rows of many petalled maids.

The scarlet shell-fish click and clash
In the blue barrow where they slide;
The horseman, prond of streak and splash,
Creeps homeward from his morning ride.

Here comes the dealers awkward string,
With neck in rope and tail in knot,—
Rough colts, with eareless cronntry-swing,
In lazy walk or slouching trot.

—Wild fifty from the mountain side,
Doomed to the close and chafing thills,
Lend me thy long untiring stride
To seek with thee thy western hills!

I hear the whispering voice of Spring
The thrush's thrill, the robin's cry,
Like some poor bird with prisoned wing
That sits and sings and longs to fly.

O for one spot of living green—
One little spot where leaves can grow,—
To love unblamed, to walk unseen,
To dream above, to sleep below!

TABLE TALK.

All the biographies which record conversations—Plutarch, Xenophon, Coleridge, Haydon and the others—are fascinating, and so far as true, better and more interesting than formal biographies. The real source of all biography is the confession of the man himself to somebody. Only so far as he tells his secret can it be known.

Seek society. Keep your friendships in repair. Answer your letters. Meet good will half way. All good men excite each other's activity. Better things are said, more incisive, more wit and insigbt are dropped in talk and forgotten by the speaker, than get into books. Never feel ashamed to avail ourselves of the powers of our companions.

The experience of the talker is the experience of the orator. The problem of both is the same, only one is private, the other public. The orator's problem is to make a poor, unpopular, down-looking cause—a thun, cold, down-looking assembly—warm, bright, firm, honorable, proud, popular, jubilant; the one great cause and assembly in the world. And the effect of every formal biography or conversation is, from poor beginnings, just the same. Some Frenchman has said that "oratory is the art of saying everything without been put in the Bastile, in a country where you are forbidden to say anything."

War is a potent tonic to conversation; it reenforces observation and restores energy. Politics, parties, theories, religions, are all asses loaded with pannien to serve the kitchen of intellect, the king. Everything passes into the mind learned